# Case 2:13-cv-06658-GP Document 1 Filed 11/15/13 Page 1 of 10 CIVIL COVER SHEET

**JS-44** (Rev 11/04)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

	·	SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON TI	1E REVERSE OF TH	ie form,	·				
I. (a)	PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANT	`S			
	School District of Philadelphia				United Consultants, Inc.				
(b)	County of Residence of First Listed Philadelphia Count Plaintiff			ty	County of Reside	ence of Firs	Listed Defendant	more County, MD	
	(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)				
					NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.				
(c)	Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)				
		squire, Klehr Harrison F , Philadelphia, PA 1910		g, LLP	Lauren A. Moser, Esq., Marshall Dennehey Warner Coleman & Goggin 2000 Market Street, Phila., PA 19103 215-575-2677				
II. BAS	SIS OF JURISDICT	ΓΙΟΝ (Place an "X" in One	Box Only)				IPAL PARTIES (Place A		laintiff and
	. Government	☐ 3. Federal Question		<b> </b> .	(For Diversity Cases Only)  One Box for Defendant)  PTF DEF  DEF				
	Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		Cit	izen of This State	□ 1	☐ 1 Incorporated or Princip of Business in This Sta		□ 4
•	. Government Defendant	☑ 4. Diversity		1	izen of Another	□ 2	☐ 2 Incorporated and Princ	ipal Place 5	⊠ 5
		(Indicates Citizenship of	Parties in Item III)	Sta	ite		of Business in Another		
					izen of Subject of a	□ 3	☐ 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6	<b>6</b>
IV. NA	TURE OF SUIT (PI	ace an "X" in One Box Only)		[ FOI	reign Country				<del></del>
	CONTRACT		PRTS		FORFEITURE/P	PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATU	JTES -
□ 110 In □ 120 M		PERSONAL INJURY  ☐ 310 Airplane	PERSONAL IN		☐ 610 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food		☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 400 State Reappor	rtionment
☐ 130 M	iller Act egotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Med Malpr	ractice	☐ 625 Drug Relate	d Seizure	28 USC 157	☐ 430 Banks and Ba	anking
□ 150 Re	covery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 365 Personal Inj Product Li	iability	of Property 2 ☐ 630 Liquor Laws	s ·	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Commerce☐ 460 Deportation	
	nforcement of Judgment edicare Act	Slander  ☐ 330 Federal	☐ 368 Asbestos Per Injury Pro		☐ 640 R.R & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs		☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	☐ 470 Racketeer Inf Corrupt Orga	
	covery of Defaulted tudent Loans	Employers' Liability	Liability PERSONAL PRO		☐ 660 Occupationa Safety/Healt	ıl	840 Trademark		edit
(	Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine	☐ 370 Other Fraud	l	☐ 690 Other			☐ 810 Selective Ser	vice
0	covery of Overpayment Veteran's Benefits.	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Len☐ 380 Other Perso		LABOR  ☐ 710 Fair Labor S	tandards	SOCIAL SECURITY  B61 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 850 Securities/Con Exchange	nmodities/
	ockholder's Suits her Contract	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	Property Da  385 Property Da		Act 720 Labor/Mgmt		☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW	2 875 Customer Cha 12 USC 341	
☐ 195 Co	entract Product Liability	Product Liability  360 Other Personal	Product Li		☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.	Reporting	(405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutor ☐ 891 Agricultural A	ry Actions
		Injury			& Disclosus  740 Railway Lab	or Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 892 Economic Stat	bilization Act
RE	AL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETI	TIONS	☐ 790 Other Labor☐ 791 Empl. Ret. In	nc.	FEDERAL TAX SUITS  370 Taxes (U.S.	☐ 894 Energy Alloca	ation Act
☐ 210 La	nd Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to ' Sentence		Security Ac	t	Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 895 Freedom of Ir Act	
□ 230 Re	nt, Lease & Ejectment	443 Housing /	Habeas Corpus	s:			☐ 871 IRS - Third Party	☐ 900 Appeal of Fee I Under Equal	
□ 245 Tc	rts to Land rt Product Liability	Accommodations  ☐ 444 Welfare	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penal	lty		•	26 USC 7609	to Justice	
□ 290 Al	Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities—	☐ 540 Mandamus & ☐ 550 Civil Rights					State Statute	
		Employment  ☐ 446 Amer.	☐ 555 Prison Cond						
		w/Disabilities							
		Other ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights							
V. ORI		" in One Box Only)			_			Appea	l to District
		tate Court A	ppellate Court	R	einstated or 5	another (specif		ion Ma	ge from gistrate gment
	·	28 U.S.C. § 1332		ı are filin	g (Do not cite juri	sdictional	statutes unless diversity):		
VI. CA	USE OF ACTION	Brief description of cause		claime fo	or breach of contract	t negligens	e and breach of warranty ag	ainst Defendant arisi	na from
		various construction pro						anist Defendant arisi	ing nom
	QUESTED IN OMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	A CLASS ACTION	) DEI	MAND \$ In exce \$300,0		CHECK YES only if de JURY DEMAND:	manded in complaint Yes 🛛 No	t:
	ELATED CASE(S) ANY	(See instructions) JU	DGE QU	An	low		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE	November 15, 2013		SIGNATUR	RE OF AT	TORNEY OF RECOR	D			
FOR OF	ICE USE ONLY				,				
RECEIPT	#	AMOUNT	APPLYING II	FP	JUDO	3E	MAG. JU	IDGE	

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

School District of Philadelphia	:	CIVIL ACTION
-1.1.100	; ;	NO.
Plaintiff,	:	
VS.	:	
United Consultants, Inc.,	; ;	

Defendant.

### DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FORM

Please check one						
box:						
$\boxtimes$	The nongo	overnmental corporate parties, United Consultants, Inc., in the				
	above liste	ed civil action do not have any parent corporation and publicly				
	held corpo	oration that owns 10% or more of its stock.				
	The nongo	vernmental corporate parties,				
		ed civil action has the following parent corporation(s) and eld corporation(s) that own 10% or more of its stock.				
November 15, 2013		Lay A Mary				
D	ate	Signature				
		Counsel for: Defendant				

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Lauren A. Moser, Esquire, do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Disclosure Statement Form was served upon the following party via U.S. Mail, on the below date:

Peter J. Norman, Esquire Klehr Harrison Harvey Branzburg, LLP 1835 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorney for Plaintiffs

MARSHALL DENNEHEY WARNER COLEMAN & GOGGIN

LAUREN A. MOSER Attorney for Defendant United Consultants, Inc.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_\_November 15, 2013\_\_\_\_\_

## 

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR assign	THE	EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA – DESIGNATIO at to appropriate calendar.	N FORM to be used b	y cour	isel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of	
Address of Plaintiff School District of Philadelphia, 440 North Broad Street, Philadelphia, PA 19130.						
Addre	ess o	f DefendantUnited Consultants, Inc., c/o Lauren A. Moser, Es	squire – 2000 Market	Street,	23 <sup>rd</sup> Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19103	
		ccident, Incident or Transaction Philadelphia County, Pennsy				
	(Use Reverse Side for Additional Space)					
Does	this	civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any p	parent corporation and	any pu	ublicly held corporation owning 10% or more if its stock?	
(Atta	ach t	wo copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with F	ed.R.Civ.P. 8.1(a))		Yes No 🖂	
		case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?  ED CASE, IF ANY:			Yes \( \sum \) No \( \sum \)	
Case	Num	ber: Judge		Date	Terminated	
Civil	cases	are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following	questions:			
Ι		his case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit p	•	lear nr	eviguely terminated action in this court?	
					Ves D No M	
2.		es this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same	transaction as a prior	suit pe	ending or within one year previously terminated	
		on in this court?			Yes 🗌 No 🛛	
3.		es this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent alread	y in suit or any earlier	numbe	er case pending or within one year previously	
	tern	ninated action in this court?			Yes 🗌 No 🖾	
CIVIL	.: (P	lace ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)			1,000	
A.		eral Question Cases:	B.	Dive	ersity Jurisdiction Cases	
1.		Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1.	$\boxtimes$	Insurance Contract and Other Contracts	
2.		FELA	2.		Airplane Personal Injury	
3.		Jones Act-Personal Injury	3.		Assault, Defamation	
4.		Antitrust	4.		Marine Personal Injury	
5.		Patent	5.		Motor Vehicle Personal Injury	
6.		Labor-Management Relations	6.		Other Personal Injury (Please specify)	
7.		Civil Rights	7.		Products Liability	
8.		Habeas Corpus	8.		Products Liability - Asbestos	
9.		Securities Act(s) Cases	9.		All other Diversity Cases	
10.		Social Security Review Cases			(Please specify)	
11.		All other Federal Question Cases				
		(Please specify) FDCPA and FCRA				
		ARBITRATION		ON		
I, <u>L</u>	aure	1 A. Moser, Esquire (Check appropriate Counsel of record d	oriate Category) o hereby certify:			
Σ	<u> </u>	Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of		lief, th	e damages recoverable in this civil action case	
exceed		sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;			-	
	] 1	Relief other than monetary damages is sought.				
DATE		November 15, 2013 Lauren A. N			309072	
	Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D. #  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.					
I certifexcept	y tha	at, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case oted above.	now pending or with	in one	year previously terminated action in this court	
DATE		November 15, 2013 Lauren A. M	loser (		309072	
01/0609	0222				****	

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

v. :	
UNITED CONSULTANTS, INC. :	
•	
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree very designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation for that defendant believes the case should be assigned.	in all civil cases at the time of the plan set forth on the reverse with the plaintiff regarding said e clerk of court and serve on the rm specifying the track to which
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT T	RACKS:
(a) Habeas Corpus-Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §2241 through §22	255.
(b) Social Security-Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secre and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	tary of Health
(c) Arbitration-Cases require to be designated for arbitration under I	Local Civil Rule 53.2. ( )
(d) Asbestos-Cases involving claims for personal injury or property exposure to asbestos.	damage from ( )
(e) Special Management-Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) throug commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation management cases.)	e management by n of special
	( )
(f) Standard ManagementCases that do not fall into any one of the	other tracks. (X)
November 15, 2013  Date  Attorney at-law	Defendant, United Consultants, Inc. Attorney for
Lauren A. Moser	· <b>/</b>
(215) 575-2677 (215) 575-0856	zmoser@mdwcg.com
Telephone FAX Number	E-Mail Address

(Civ. 660) 10/02

### Case 2:13-cv-06658-GP Document 1 Filed 11/15/13 Page 6 of 10

## Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan Section 1:03 - Assignment to a Management Track

- (a) The clerk of court will assign cases to tracks (a) through (d) based on the initial pleading.
- (b) In all cases not appropriate for assignment by the clerk of court to tracks (a) through (d), the plaintiff shall submit to the clerk of court and serve with the complaint on all defendants a case management track designation form specifying that the plaintiff believes the case requires Standard Management of Special Management. In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
- (c) The court may, on its own initiative or upon the request of any party, change the track assignment of any case at any time.
- (d) Nothing in this Plan is intended to abrogate of limit a judicial officer's authority in any case pending before that judicial officer, to direct pretrial and trial proceedings that are more stringent than those of the Plan and that are designed to accomplish cost and delay reduction.
- (e) Nothing in this Plan is intended to supersede Local Civil Rules 3 or 7, or the procedure for random assignment of Habeas Corpus and Social Security cases referred to magistrate judges of the court.

# SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CASE ASSIGNMENTS (See § 1.02(e) Management Track Definitions of the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan)

Special management cases will usually include that class of cases commonly referred to as "complex litigation" as that term has been used in the Manuals for Complex Litigation. The first manual was prepared in 1969 and the Manual for Complex Litigation Second, MCL 2d was prepared in 1985. This term is intended to include cases that present unusual problems and require extraordinary treatment. See §0.1 of the first manual. Cases may require special or intense management by the court due to one or more of the following factors: (1) large number of parties; (2) large number of claims or defenses; (3) complex factual issues; (4) large volume of evidence; (5) problems locating or preserving evidence; (6) extensive discovery; (7) exceptionally long time needed to prepare for disposition; (8) decision needed within an exceptionally short time; and (9) need to decide preliminary issues before final disposition. It may include two or more related cases. Complex litigation typically includes such as antitrust cases; cases involving a large number of parties or an unincorporated association of large membership; cases involving requests for injunctive relief affecting the operation of large business entities; patent cases; copyright and trademark cases; common disaster cases such as those arising from aircraft crashes or marine disasters; actions brought by individual stockholder's stockholder's derivative and stockholder's representative actions; class actions of potential class actions; and other civil (and criminal) cases involving unusual multiplicity or complexity of factual issues. See §0.22 of the first Manual for Complex Litigation and Manual for Complex Litigation Second, Chapter 33.

### MARSHALL DENNEHEY WARNER COLEMAN & GOGGIN

BY: Gregory J. Kelley, Esquire
Jane E. Kane, Esquire
Lauren A. Moser, Esquire

Identification Nos.67968/46585/309072

2000 Market Street

Suite 2300

Philadelphia, PA 19103

215-575-2600

Attorneys for Defendant, United Consultants, Inc.

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PHILADELPHIA

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO.

UNITED CONSULTANTS, INC.

Defendant

#### NOTICE OF REMOVAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1441(b) and 28 U.S.C. §1332, Defendant, United Consultants, Inc., by and through its counsel, Marshall Dennehey Warner Coleman & Goggin, hereby removes the action entitled *School District of Philadelphia* v. *United Consultants, Inc.*, July Term 2013, No. 4129, as filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania ("the Action"), to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, based upon the following:

- 1. On July 30, 2013, Plaintiff, The School District of Philadelphia (Plaintiff) instituted this action in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania.
- 2. On October 15, 2013, Plaintiff filed its Complaint. A true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

- 3. Defendant received the Complaint on October 16, 2013 via FedEx delivery with no signature required.
- 4. Defendant has timely filed this Notice of Removal as it is filed within thirty (30) days of the date that the Action was first removable. See 28 U.S.C. §1446(b).
- 5. In the Complaint, Plaintiff avers that its principal place of business is 440 North Broad, Street, Philadelphia, PA 19130.
- 6. United Consultants, Inc. is a Maryland corporation with its principal place of business at 5110 Belair Road, Baltimore, MD 21206.
- 7. In this matter, Plaintiff has alleged that the amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, is in excess of the jurisdictional threshold of \$75,000.00 as required by 28 U.S.C. §1332(a).
- 8. The above-described civil action is one in which this Honorable Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332 based upon the fact that there exists diversity of citizenship between the named parties and the amount in controversy is in excess of \$75,000.00. Accordingly, this matter is one which may be removed to this Honorable Court by Notice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1441.
- 9. Written notice of the filing of this Notice of Removal has been given to Plaintiff in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), as noted in the attached Certificate of Service.
- 10. Promptly after filing of this Notice with this Court and the assignment of a civil action number, a copy of the Notice of Removal will be filed with the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §1446(d). A draft copy of the Praecipe to File a Notice of Removal is attached hereto as Exhibit 2. Thereafter, an Affidavit for filing the Notice of Removal will be filed with this Honorable Court.

WHEREFORE, Defendant, United Consultants, Inc., respectfully requests that the above-captioned matter now pending in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County be removed to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §1332 and §1441.

MARSHALL DENNEHEY WARNER COLEMAN & GOGGIN

BY: Lauren A. Moser/lam0454

GREGORY J. KELLEY, ESQUIRE JANE E. KANE, ESQUIRE LAUREN A. MOSER, ESQUIRE Attorneys for Defendant United Consultants, Inc.

Date: 11/15/2013

## MARSHALL DENNEHEY WARNER COLEMAN & GOGGIN

BY: Gregory J. Kelley, Esquire

Jane E. Kane, Esquire

Lauren A. Moser, Esquire

Identification Nos.67968/46585/309072

2000 Market Street

Suite 2300

Philadelphia, PA 19103

215-575-2600

Attorneys for Defendant, United Consultants, Inc.

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PHILADELPHIA

v.

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO.

UNITED CONSULTANTS, INC.

Defendant

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Lauren A. Moser, Esquire, certify that I caused a copy of the foregoing Notice of Removal via U.S. Mail upon the parties listed below.

Peter J. Norman, Esquire Klehr Harrison Harvey Branzburg, LLP 1835 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorney for Plaintiff

# MARSHALL DENNEHEY WARNER COLEMAN & GOGGIN

BY: Lauren A. Moser, Esquire/lam0454

GREGORY J. KELLEY, ESQUIRE JANE E. KANE, ESQUIRE LAUREN A. MOSER, ESQUIRE Attorneys for Defendant United Consultants, Inc.

Date: 11/15/2013